Preparation and evaluation of burns wound healing ointment base of leaves and stem bark of *Anthocleista djalonensis* (L) extract using animal model.
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**ABSTRACT**

Abstract: This study is aimed at evaluating and comparing the wound healing potential of ointment base of leaves and Stem bark of Anthocleista djalonensis on burn wounds created on wistar albino rats. The leaves and Stem bark of Anthocleista djalonensis collected were extracted using 95%v/v methanol and phytochemical analysis conducted. Simple ointments of varying concentrations were formulated to screen for wound healing activity using the burn wound model on experimental rats grouped into six (n=4). Group 1 was treated with silver sulfadiazine cream (positive control), group 2 with ointment base (negative control), group 3 with 1% stem bark extract ointment, group 4 with 2% stem bark ointment, group 5 with 1% leave extract ointment, and group 6 with 2% leave extract ointment. All animals were anesthetized before the creation of burn wounds. Measurement was taken on day zero and the wound was left untreated for 48 hours in order to allow bacterial colonization before daily treatment of the wound for 16 days. The result of the phytochemical screening revealed that both extract of Anthocleista djalonensis contains flavonoids, tannins and saponins. On day 2, 1% stem bark, 2% stem bark and 1% leaf extract had 16%, 15%, and 10% wound contraction respectively which was higher than the 8.5% wound contraction of silver sulfadiazine. Also as the concentration of the extract increased, the wound healing effect also increased as seen by the percentage wound contraction on day 16 for all treatment. The findings of the study have shown that methanolic extracts of stem bark and leaf of Anthocleista djalonensis contained bioactive constituents which have burn wound healing activity. The stem bark extract showed better activity when compared with the leaf extract and also the positive control (silver sulfadiazine).
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**INTRODUCTION**

Wounds could be defined as a cut or breakdown in the protective covering of any tissue disrupting its continuity.¹ It results in physical interference on the skin (tissue), which is a major obstacle to the establishment of infections by bacterial pathogens in internal tissues. This interference breaches the skin’s protective function leading to infection and treatment of the wound usually involves preventing infection.² Wound is a common problem in human day to day activities, which may be as a result of physical, chemical, thermal, microbial or immunological insult to the tissue.³ There are various classifications of wound; wounds without tissue loss (e.g., in surgery), wounds with tissue loss (e.g. burn wound, incised wound, lacerated wound), wounds caused as a result of trauma, abrasions or as secondary events in chronic ailments (e.g., venous stasis, diabetic ulcers or pressure sores) and iatrogenic wounds such as skin graft donor sites and derma abrasions.⁴ In many African countries, the use of wood, gas, candles, and kerosene as fuels for cooking and the source of light has increased the incidence of burn wounds.⁵ Burns are damages to the skin or other organic tissues caused by extreme heat, ultraviolet radiation, electricity, flame, respiratory damage resulting from smoke inhalation, friction or contact with heated objects, or with chemicals.⁶ Burns results in a long hospital stay and psychological stress in every area of an affected life, leading to increased morbidity and mortality rate.⁷ Generally, burns are categorized as first, second, or third-degree based on their depth, the area of body surface that is burned, the region or part of the body affected, as well as the extent of the burn and its treatment, depends on the severity of the burn.⁸ Treatment options range from dressing and topical ointment for minor burns to immediate medical attention and hospitalization for severe burns.⁹ WHO estimates that burns cause about 180,000 deaths...
every year, particularly among low and middle-income earners of African and South-East Asia regions having about two-third of burn cases. Every year, over 1,000,000 people are moderately or severely burnt in India and nearly 173,000 in Egypt, Bangladesh, Colombia, and Pakistan. It has also been reported that burns cause 17% temporary disability and 18% permanent disability to children in many African countries. The United States of America isn’t left out in this report of the burn wound, 1.1 million burn cases requiring medical attention are recorded each year, and approximately 50,000 of these cases require hospitalization. Major Burns involving 25% of the total body surface, accounts for 20,000 cases, and approximately 4,500 of these people die. Also, the United States has documented up to 10,000 deaths every year associated with burn-related infections, and only 60 percent survived.

Exposure of burn wound places patients at high risk of infection, especially drug-resistant infections, which often results in delayed wound healing, high treatment cost, increased mortality rate, and significantly longer hospital stays. Wound infections are most common in developing countries because of poor hygienic conditions. Infection can elicit a pronounced immune response that is accompanied by sepsis or even septic shock resulting in hypotension and impaired perfusion of end organs, including the skin. All of these processes result in delayed wound healing. Besides, multi-organ failure and sepsis are the leading causes of death following a severe burn owning to the colonization of burn wound by microorganisms within 2 days. Microorganisms are ubiquitous in nature, and burn wounds aren’t left out. This colonization progresses into an invasion of surrounding adjacent tissues within 5 days followed by degradation. So prevention and management of infection should be the primary concern in the treatment of burn patients employing accurate and early diagnosis as the first step.

Dynamic processes with overlapping phases are involved in the healing of all wounds. Such phases includes: Haemostasis and inflammatory phase, proliferative phase, then the maturation and remodeling phase. In the management of burn wounds, their depth is of great importance, first-degree burn affecting only epidermis, second superficial degree affecting epidermis and papillary dermis, second deep degree affecting epidermis and reticular dermis and third-degrees affecting epidermis and full-thickness that affect the three layers of the skin and muscles but in the world, scald burns represent the most frequent group. Topical antibiotics adopted in the 60’s and 70’s (mafenide and silver sulfadiazine) greatly decreased the incidence of mortality associated with burns hence; the use of topical antibiotics, in the management of all degrees of burn wounds.

Also, in burns management, multiple drug-resistant organisms associated with modern medicines is of great concern and their presence significantly delays wound healing, prolong hospitalization, and increase mortality and treatment costs; this has led researchers into the search for alternative traditional medicines with no undue effects.

Traditional medicines, including plant-derived extracts (phytochemicals) of natural origin, have found usefulness in the treatment of wide varieties of diseases either in combination or individually. Several studies have reported that natural products have a long history of use in wound care, and the belief that they are safer than standard therapies has necessitated a growing interest in the use of natural products. In many developing countries, higher percentage of patients still use herbal remedies to treat diseases. Its availability, effectiveness, lack of a problem of resistance, and reduced cost has continued to maintain popularity despite the availability of modern medicines. It has been estimated by the World Health Organisation that at least 80% of the world population, mainly in developing countries, still depend on herbal medicines for their primary health care needs. The use of plant remedies has steadily increased worldwide in recent years as well as the search for new phytochemicals that potentially could be developed as useful drugs for the treatment of infectious diseases. Extensive research has been done on wound healing, and several plants have been found useful in the treatment of skin disorders such as abscesses, acne, burns, boils, incisions, ringworm, rashes, shingles, sores, wounds and warts.

_Anthocleista djalonensis_, specie of _Anthocleista_ and a shrub-like medicinal plant of the Gentianaceae family is widely distributed in tropical Africa, Madagascar, and on Comoros. _Anthocleista djalonensis_ is commonly known as a cabbage tree and produces fruits during October and November in Nigeria, but names used to describe _Anthocleista_ species are usually derived from the areas or regions of the country in which they are found. In Nigeria, the Yorubas’ called it sapo, Hausas’ kwarii, okpokolo in Igbo and osuo in Bayelsa (Southern Nigeria). _Anthocleista djalonensis_ is widely used throughout its distribution area to treat varieties of diseases. Extraks from different parts of the plant has been used as an antidiabetic, antimalarial, antipyretic, analgesic, antiplasmodial and antibacterial agent to treat inflammation, wounds and sores. The antibacterial activity and wound healing activity of _A. djalonensis_ leave extract has been studied using several wound models but not burns model and also the use of _A. djalonensis_ stem bark extract in burn wound healing has not been studied.

This present study was carried out to assess and compare the burn wound healing potential of an
ointment base containing methanolic extract of leaf and stem bark of *A. djalonensis* for topical application on wistar albino rats.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Equipment**

Electronic weighing balance, milling machine, flat trays, crucible, stirrer, water bath, cotton wool, hand gloves, towel, sterile blade, rotary flash evaporator.

**Chemicals and Reagents**

Methanol, distilled water, white soft paraffin, hydrogen peroxide, silver sulphadiazine cream (Dermazin), cetostearyl alcohol, wool fat, hard paraffin. All other class used were of analytical grade.

**Plant Materials**

The leaves and stem barks of *Anthocleista djalonensis* were collected from Orba in Udenu Local Government Area of Nsukka in Enugu State and authenticated by Mr. A. Ozioko of the Bioresources Development and Conservation Program (BDCP) Laboratory Nsukka in June 2018.

**Experimental animals**

A total of 24 healthy Wistar albino rats of either sex and approximately the same age, weighing about 150-250 g obtained from the animal house of the Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Nigeria Nsukka were used for the study. They were fed with standard diet and water *ad libitum* throughout the experimental period. They were housed in polypropylene cages and maintained under standard conditions. They were also allowed 5 days of acclimatization to the environment before the experimental procedure was commenced on them.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Preparation of Crude Extract**

The fresh leaves and stem bark of *Anthocleista djalonensis* each were air-dried for 4 weeks and pulverized into a fine coarse powder using a mechanical milling machine. Exactly 500 g of each powdered sample was extracted by cold maceration with 95 % v/v methanol in an amber-colored bottle for 72 hours, followed by sieving with a muslin cloth and filtered with No 1 Whatman filter paper. Each filtrate was collected and concentrated in vacuo using rotary flash evaporator. After extraction, dried methanol extract of each plant part was transferred into two separate clean containers and stored in the refrigerator at 4°C for further use.

**Phytochemical Analysis**

Phytochemical screening of the extracts were carried out according to the procedure described by Harborne et al.\(^3\) to check for the presence of some phytoconstituents and intensity of the color change observed was used to quantify the phytochemicals.

**Test for Alkaloids (Wagner’s test)**

A 20 mL volume of 5 % sulphuric acid in 50 % ethanol was added to 0.5 g of the sample and heated on a boiling water bath for 10 minutes, cooled and filtered. 2 ml of the filtrate was treated differently with few drops of Wagner’s reagent and Picric acid solution (1%). The presence of alkaloid is indicated by milky precipitate with Wagner’s reagent and yellowish precipitate with 1% picric acid solution.

**Test for Reducing Sugar (Fehling’s test)**

A 0.1 g of the extract was shaken vigorously with 5 ml of distilled water and filtered. The filtrate was used for frothing test:

Frothing test: To 1mL portion of the filtrate was added equal volumes of Fehling’s solution I and II and boiled on a water bath for a few minutes. A brick-red precipitate indicates the presence of reducing sugar.

**Test for Glycosides (Fehling’s test)**

A dilute sulphuric acid (10 ml) acid was added to the 0.5 g of the sample and treated in a water bath. It was allowed to cool before it was neutralized with few drops of 20 % potassium hydroxide solution. A 10 mL of equal part of Fehling’s solution I and II were added to the mixture and boiled for 5 minutes. A dense brick precipitate indicates the presence of glycosides.

**Test for Flavonoids (Ammonium chloride test)**

Ethylacetate (10 mL) was added to 0.1 g of the sample and heated in the water bath for 3 minutes. The mixture was cooled and filtered. A 1 ml portion of 1% aluminum chloride solution was added to 4 ml portion of the filtrate and shaken vigorously. A yellow-color in the aluminum chloride layer indicates the presence of flavonoids.

**Test for Saponins (Frothing and Emulsion test)**

A 20 ml volume of distilled water was added to 0.2 g of the sample and boiled on a water bath for 2 minutes. The mixture was filtered while hot and allowed to cool, and the filtrate was used for the following tests

Frothing test: The filtrate was diluted with 5 mL of distilled water and shaken vigorously; formation of a stable persistent froth (foam) upon standing indicates the presence of saponins.
Emulsion test: A few drops of olive oil were added to the froth formed above and shaken vigorously; the formation of emulsion indicates the presence of saponins.

Test for Steroids (Sulphuric acid test)
An amount of 0.5 g of the sample was mixed with 2 mL of acetic anhydride followed by 2 mL of dilute sulphuric acid. A color change from violet to blue or green indicates the presence of Steroids.

Test for Tannins (Ferric chloride and Lead acetate test)
Distilled water (20 mL) was added to 0.5 g of the sample. It was shaken, filtered, and the filtrate was used for the following tests:

Ferric chloride test: A few drops of ferric chloride were added to 3 mL of the filtrate in a test tube. The formation of a greenish precipitate indicates the presence of tannins.

Lead acetate solution test: A few drops of lead acetate were added to 3 mL of the filtrate. The formation of a reddish color indicates the presence of tannins.

Preparation of Ointments
Simple ointments containing 1% w/w and 2% w/w of the methanol extract concentrate of both the leaves and stem bark Anthocleista djalonensis were prepared by fusion method, according to the following formula:

**Ingredient per 100g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant extracts</td>
<td>105g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cetostearyl alcohol</td>
<td>5.0g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wool fat</td>
<td>5.0g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard paraffin</td>
<td>5.0g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White soft paraffin</td>
<td>85.0g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above formula, X was used as 1g% and 2g% (10mg and 20mg) of the stem bark and leaf extract ointment by mixing ingredients in a mortar with a pestle until smooth ointment was produced. The prepared ointments were stored in a collapsible plastic tube and labeled accordingly to be applied to the burn wound site of the animals.

Preparation of wound site (Burn Wound Model)
The experimental protocols adopted were in accordance with the guidelines stipulated by the institution of the animal ethics committee. The Wistar albino rats were first anesthetized with 100 mg/kg: 10mg/kg of Ketamine: Xylazine. The hairs of the dorsal region of the rats were shaved with a sterile blade and the burns was created on the shaved area using a cylindrical rod, which is 2 cm in diameter. The rod was heated until it was red hot before it was then placed on the shaved dorsal area of the animals for about 5 seconds to get a superficial burn, i.e., of partial-thickness and the inflicted wound was left undressed.

Treatment Protocol:
The animals were grouped into six with four animals (n = 4) in each group. Measurement was taken before commencement of treatment (i.e., 2cm), and the wound was left untreated for 48 hours to allow bacterial colonization before daily treatment of the wound was started. The wounds were first cleaned using hydrogen peroxide and cotton wool before daily administration of the treatments was done for sixteen (16) days using the different concentration of the crude extract, standard treatment as well as a negative treatment as stated in Table 1 below.

Wound contraction and epithelisation
The percentage wound contraction was calculated during the wound healing process. After the creation of the wound, the diameter of the wound area was measured with a measuring rule along the circular area of the wound margin on alternate days (every two days interval) by subtracting the unhealed area size from the initial wound size.

\[ \text{Percentage wound contraction} = \frac{\text{Initial wound size - wound size on the day of measurement}}{\text{Initial wound size}} \times 100 \]

Statistical Analysis:
Data were statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA; DUNNET post hoc test), the difference between means of treated and control group were considered significant at p < 0.05. All the values were expressed as mean ± standard error mean (S.E.M).

### Table 1: Treatment regime for the different animal groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dermazin (Positive control)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ointment base (Negative control)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1% Stem bark extract Ointment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2% Stem bark extract Ointment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1% leaves extract Ointment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2% leaves extract Ointment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Extraction yields from the leaf and stem bark of Anthocleista djalonensis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Part of plant used</th>
<th>Extraction solvent</th>
<th>Weight of plant material used (g)</th>
<th>Weight of extract after drying (g)</th>
<th>Percentage yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthocleista djalonensis Leaves</td>
<td>95% methanol</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthocleista djalonensis Stem bark</td>
<td>95% methanol</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

From table 2, the percentage yield of leave and stem bark extract obtained were 7.3 and 6.16, respectively. The result of the phytochemical analysis of the leaf extract (Table 3), reveals the presence of glycosides, flavonoids, tannins, saponins, and steroids while that of the stem bark extract revealed the presence of reducing sugar, flavonoids, tannins, and saponins. Tannins were highly present in both the leave and stem bark extract. As polyphenolic compounds, tannins are highly water-soluble in nature thus explaining the reason for greater yield from both plant part after extraction. Medicinally, tannins provide astringent, antioxidant, antiseptic, hemostatic, and toning properties when present in a plant. As a result of these properties, they coagulate the mucosal tissues and proteins, thereby providing a layer that insulates and protects the skin when exposed to pain and irritation. Studies have also reported that oral ingestion of tannins may be intolerable in certain patients because of its ability to inhibit the absorption of some vitamins and minerals hence, the reason for the formulation of the extract for topical application. Tannins are also known for their sticky nature; hence, its aid wound contraction and epithelization.

The presence of flavonoids was also recorded in both leave and stem bark but in a lesser extent compared to tannins. A number of studies have reported that flavonoids have an antioxidant and anti-inflammatory property which is known to promote tissue healing. Saponins were also present to the same extent as flavonoids and had hemolytic and antimicrobial activity, which could also be responsible for the burn wound healing activity of the present study.

From the result obtained in Table 4, it was observed that percentage wound contraction increased with alternate day treatment in all concentrations of the extract, the negative and positive control. On day 2, the 1% stem bark, 2% stem bark, and 1% leaf extract had 16%, 15%, and 10% wound contraction, respectively, which was higher than the 8.5% wound contraction of the standard treatment. The only exception was seen with 2% leaf extract with a percentage wound contraction of 6%, lesser than that of the standard treatment. This reveals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract used</th>
<th>Alkaloids</th>
<th>Reducing sugar</th>
<th>Glycosides</th>
<th>Flavonoids</th>
<th>Tannins</th>
<th>Saponins</th>
<th>Steroids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaf extract</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem bark</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ = present, ++ = highly present, - = absent

Table 4: Effect of Methanol extract of leaves and stems bark of Anthocleista djalonensis on wound contraction showing percentage wound contraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TREATMENT</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 2</th>
<th>Day 4</th>
<th>Day 6</th>
<th>Day 8</th>
<th>Day 10</th>
<th>Day 12</th>
<th>Day 14</th>
<th>Day 16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DERMAZIN Wound Size (cm)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Wound Contraction</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>52.50</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>76.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OINTMENT BASE Wound Size (cm)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Wound Contraction</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% STEM BARK EXTRACT Wound Size (cm)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Wound Contraction</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>42.50</td>
<td>47.50</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2% STEM BARK EXTRACT Wound Size (cm)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Wound Contraction</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>61.50</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% LEAF EXTRACT Wound Size (cm)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Wound Contraction</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>61.50</td>
<td>80.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2% LEAF EXTRACT Wound Size (cm)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Wound Contraction</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>22.50</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>65.00</td>
<td>77.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
that the wound healing activity of the extracts were more than the standard treatment of silver sulfadiazine (Dermazin). On day 4, the percentage wound contraction of 1% stem bark extract was 21% greater than 17.50% of the standard treatment. The 2% stem bark extract had the same percentage wound contraction with the standard treatment, but the percentage wound contraction of both leaf extract concentration were below that of the standard treatment. The same was also observed in 2% stem bark extract of day 6 having 28% greater than 25% of silver sulfadiazine and 42.50% greater than 35% of silver sulfadiazine on day 8. This continued for all percentage wound contraction of stem bark extract throughout the treatment period. This indicates that the 2% stem bark extract had greater burn wound healing activity compared to the standard treatment. In comparing the activity of both extracts used in this study, it can be concluded that both concentrations of the stem bark extract had greater burn wound healing activity compared to the concentration of the leaf extracts. This was observed in the percentage wound contraction of all treatment (day 2 - day 16). Studies have been conducted using the leaf extract of *Anthocleista djalonensis* for wound healing using other wound healing models, but studies with the use of its stem bark in burn wound has not been evaluated.

From this study, the stem bark extract of *Anthocleista djalonensis* produced better burn wound healing activity compared to the leaf extract and the standard treatment; this could, therefore, suggest its use as a potential active ingredient in formulating ointment useful in the treatment of burn wounds colonized by microbes.

It was also observed that as the concentration of the extract increased (from 1% to 2%), the wound healing effect of both the stem bark and leave extract also increased. This was seen on other treatment days but for day 2, 4, and 6. The increase in concentration with a proportional increase in percentage wound contraction was observed throughout day 16 for all treatment with a percentage wound contraction for 1% concentration stem bark extract giving 82.5%, 2% gave 87.5%, 1% leaf extract gave 81.5% and then 2% gave 87.5% wound contraction. This could mean that if the treatment days were extended, the percentage wound contraction results would also continue to get better.

From the result of ANOVA, a statistical significant difference existed in day 2 only, which was even more than that of the standard treatment. At 95% confidence level, the treatment means for all treatment groups in day 2 were significant. There was no statistical significant difference in other treatment groups.
CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the present study experimentally demonstrates the effective burn wound healing activity of both the leaves and the stem bark of *Anthocleista djalonensis* extract. It contains bioactive phytochemical constituents which has burn wound healing activity on the colonized burn wound. Leaving a burn wound unattended to for 48 hours exposes it to microbial colonization. Its activity increased with increased concentrations of the extracts, and the stem bark showed better activity when compared with the leaf extract and even greater activity compared to the standard burn ointment. Therefore, the stem bark extract of *Anthocleista djalonensis* could be a potential active ingredient in formulations intended for the treatment of burn wound. However, scar remodeling is an important aspect of burn wound healing, but regrettably, this study has not covered it. Further studies could be conducted to evaluate the scar remodeling effect of this plant extract.
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